

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D. C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/826,202	04/04/2001	Uwe Wiedmann	14XZ00101	2591	
75	90 02/14/2003				
General Electric Company			EXAMINER		
3135 Easton Turnpike - W3C Fairfield, CT 06431		CHURCH, CRAIG E			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit				
•	Laminer		Gloup Art Offic				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	rrespondence a	ddress			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAI	LING DATE			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> </ul>	within the statutory minimi pire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) on the mailing date	days will be consider of this communicati	ed timely. on .			
Status							
✗ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				•			
S This action is FINAL.							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (			the merits is clo	sed in			
Disposition of Claims							
X Claim(s) 1 7 4 4	is/are p	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
> Claim(s) 29 - 44	is/are allowed.						
X Claim(s) 1-28	is/are rejected.						
Claim(s)		is/are c	bjected to.				
Claim(s)	A	are sub	•	or election			
Application Papers							
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.							
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
<ul> <li>✗ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).</li> <li>☒ All : Some*</li></ul>							
	•						
*Certified copies not received:			·				
Attachment(s)							
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s		·					
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		rtner					
Office Action Summary							

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) , 'i

Serial No. Art Unit 826,202 2882

The proposed drawing correction filed November 14, 2002 is approved.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure. While the disclosure teaches that an optical filter is provided whose absorption characteristics are set according to the temperature of an intensifier, no means for determining said temperature and for adjusting filter performance in response thereto are taught.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 2, 8, 14, 22 and 25 are obscure since they do not specify what temperature is being referred to, ie light is not a tangible object and does not have a temperature. The meanings of "shift" (of what?) in claims 2, 8, 14, 22, and 25 and "light emitter" in claims 1, 2, 8, 14, 22 and 25 are unclear.

Serial No. Art Unit 826,202 2882

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Quint et al (5734693). Quint teaches optical filters 16 and 16a adjacent intensifiers within an x-ray cassette. Claims 1-28 are so obscure that a meaningful comparison with the prior art cannot be made.

Claims 29-44 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed November 14, 2002 have been fully considered, but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant's description on pages 2 and 3 of the amendment is noted, but it is faulty to the extent that claim 1 doesn't define intensifying and detecting and claims 2, 8, 14, 22 and 25 don't claim the intensifier or the detector, As argued by applicant all of these elements must cooperate and are therefor essential to the

Serial No. Art Unit 826,202 2882

invention. Furthermore, applicant's statement that

The optical filter has a transmission spectrum that is not dependent on the temperature of the intensifier.

is absolutely incorrect. Yes, the filter is tailored to the emission spectrum of the intensifier, BUT THE EMISSION SPECTRUM OF THE INTENSIFIER IS A FUNCTION OF ITS TEMPERATURE. To refer to temperature without specifying a specific object as applicant has done in his arguments and claims is meaningless since light is not tangible and does not have a temperature.

Claims 1-28 remain obscure.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (703) 308-4861.

Crang E Church

CRAIG E. CHURCH Senior Examiner ART UNIT 2882